

Antibacterial efficacy of nanocarrier-assisted antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (nano-apdt) against enterococcus faecalis in root canals: key findings and perspectives

Li Jiang*

School of Stomatology, Jinan University, China

*Correspondence: Li Jiang, School of Stomatology, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China, Email 1370910872@qq.com

Received: October 16, 2025 | Published: November 19, 2025

Citation: Jiang L. Antibacterial efficacy of nanocarrier-assisted antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (nano-apdt) against enterococcus faecalis in root canals: key findings and perspectives. *J Dent Sci Oral Care*. 2025;1(1):1–2.

Background

Persistent *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*) infection remains a primary cause of endodontic treatment failure due to its strong biofilm-forming ability, nutrient tolerance, and penetration into dentinal tubules and root canal isthmuses.^{1,2} Conventional irrigants such as sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) remain the gold standard, exhibiting strong antibacterial and tissue-dissolving effects.³ However, high NaOCl concentrations are cytotoxic, reduce dentin microhardness, and risk periapical tissue injury, underscoring the need for safer and more biocompatible alternatives.⁴

Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) has emerged as an adjunctive disinfection strategy that uses photosensitizers activated by light to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) capable of killing microorganisms.⁵ Yet, conventional aPDT suffers from limited tissue penetration, hydrophobic photosensitizer aggregation, and suboptimal ROS generation in deep biofilm layers. Incorporating nanocarriers—such as liposomes, polymeric nanoparticles, and metallic nanostructures—into PDT systems (Nano-aPDT) has shown potential to overcome these shortcomings by enhancing photosensitizer solubility, stability, and targeted delivery.⁶

Objectives and Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis comprehensively evaluated the antibacterial efficacy of Nano-aPDT against *E. faecalis* biofilms, compared with conventional aPDT and NaOCl irrigation, and synthesized evidence-based insights for clinical translation.

Following PRISMA guidelines (PROSPERO: CRD42021214056), systematic searches across PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Scopus, and Cochrane Library (through June 2025) identified nine eligible in vitro studies. Eleven datasets compared Nano-aPDT with NaOCl, and nine compared Nano-aPDT with conventional aPDT. Pooled risk ratios (RR) were calculated using the Mantel–Haenszel random-effects model.

Results

Meta-analysis demonstrated that Nano-aPDT achieved significantly higher antibacterial efficacy than conventional aPDT (pooled RR=1.41; 95% CI: 1.11–1.78; $p=0.004$), confirming that nanocarrier incorporation enhances photosensitizer activity and ROS generation. Compared with NaOCl, Nano-aPDT exhibited slightly lower antibacterial performance (RR = 0.85; 95% CI: 0.74–0.97; $p = 0.01$).

Subgroup analysis revealed that curcumin-based Nano-aPDT systems achieved the most consistent antimicrobial outcomes (RR = 0.53; 95% CI: 0.39–0.72; $p < 0.0001$), while methylene blue and indocyanine green subgroups showed variable results due to differences in nanocarrier composition and irradiation parameters.⁷ Despite substantial heterogeneity ($I^2=99\%$), sensitivity analyses confirmed that overall trends remained robust, validating Nano-aPDT's enhanced efficacy over conventional PDT and near-equivalence to NaOCl.

Mechanistic Insights

The improved antibacterial activity of Nano-aPDT arises from three key mechanisms:

Enhanced Photosensitizer Delivery and Stability—Nanocarriers prevent hydrophobic photosensitizer aggregation, prolong stability, and facilitate deep dentinal penetration.⁶

Microenvironmental Modulation—Oxygen-generating nanocomposites (e.g., Ce6/CaO₂/ZIF-8) alleviate hypoxia within canals, sustaining ROS production for effective bacterial eradication.⁸ **Physical and Magnetic Synergy**—Activation methods such as ultrasound, SWEEPS, and magnetically guided nanoparticles improve drug diffusion and mechanical disruption of biofilms.^{9,10} Collectively, these advancements transform PDT from a surface-level disinfection tool into a precision-targeted, multi-mechanistic antimicrobial strategy suitable for complex root canal systems.

Clinical relevance and potential

Although NaOCl retains superior immediate bactericidal activity, Nano-aPDT offers distinct advantages in biocompatibility, selective action, and preservation of dentin integrity. Notably, combining low-concentration NaOCl (1–2%) with Nano-aPDT may achieve comparable disinfection efficacy while reducing cytotoxicity by up to 80%.¹¹ This complementary approach leverages NaOCl's ability to degrade the biofilm matrix and Nano-aPDT's capacity for localized ROS-mediated bacterial killing. Beyond endodontic disinfection, Nano-aPDT shows translational potential in treating periodontal disease, peri-implantitis, oral precancerous lesions, and soft-tissue infections due to its controllable, non-invasive antimicrobial action.¹²

Limitations and future directions

Despite encouraging findings, several limitations persist. Considerable heterogeneity in nanocarrier types, photosensitizer concentrations, and irradiation parameters complicates cross-study comparison. Standardized Nano-aPDT protocols remain lacking, and most current evidence derives from in vitro models with limited clinical extrapolation.

Future research should emphasize

- a. Standardization of Nano-aPDT parameters (wavelength, dose, carrier type).
- b. Multicenter in vivo and clinical studies to verify efficacy and long-term safety.
- c. Integration of multifunctional nanoplatforms combining antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and regenerative effects.
- d. Exploration of synergistic protocols integrating Nano-aPDT with optimized NaOCl irrigation.

Conclusion

Nanocarrier-assisted antimicrobial photodynamic therapy represents a significant evolution in endodontic disinfection. Although slightly less potent than NaOCl, Nano-aPDT achieves superior penetration, precision targeting, and biocompatibility. Continued optimization and clinical validation could establish Nano-aPDT as a cornerstone of precision endodontic therapy, offering a safer, more effective alternative to conventional chemical irrigation.

Acknowledgements

None.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

1. Wong J, Manoil D, Näsman P, et al. Microbiological aspects of root canal infections and disinfection strategies. *Front Oral Health*. 2021;2:672887.
2. Zou X, Zheng X, Liang Y, et al. Expert consensus on irrigation and intracanal medication in root canal therapy. *Int J Oral Sci*. 2024;16(1):23.
3. Agarwal S, Mishra L, Singh NR, et al. Effect of different irrigating solutions on root canal dentin microhardness. *J Funct Biomater*. 2024;15(5):132.
4. Xu H, Ye Z, Zhang A, et al. Effects of sodium hypochlorite concentration on root dentin properties. *Int Endod J*. 2022;55(10):1091–1102.
5. Limbgaonkar PJ, Justin MR, Sarda A, et al. Photodynamic therapy in endodontics: a review. *J Radiat Dent*. 2023;14(6):415.
6. Alfidous RA, Garcia IM, Balhaddad AA, et al. Advancing photodynamic therapy for endodontic disinfection with nanoparticles. *Appl Sci*. 2021;11(11):4759.
7. Ensafi F, Fazlyab M, Chiniforush N, et al. Comparative effects of SWEEPS and nano-curcumin photodynamic therapy on *E. faecalis* biofilms. *Photodiagn Photodyn Ther*. 2022;40:103130.
8. Chen J, Zhang H, Zhao T, et al. Oxygen self-supplied nanoplatform for enhanced photodynamic therapy in root canals. *Adv Healthc Mater*. 2024;13(13):e2302926.
9. Xu X, Wang P, Tong F, et al. Magnetically guided nanoplatform for enhanced antibacterial efficacy in root canal biofilms. *Polymers*. 2025;17(10):1305.
10. Wang Y, Lei L, Huang J, et al. Sonic-assisted antibacterial photodynamic therapy for lateral canal disinfection. *BMC Oral Health*. 2024;24(1):5.
11. Batool W, Anwar A, Imtiaz SA, et al. Photodynamic therapy vs. sodium hypochlorite for root canal disinfection. *J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol*. 2024;31(8):561–569.
12. Yang LL, Li H, Liu D, et al. Photodynamic therapy empowered by nanotechnology for oral and dental science. *Nanotechnol Rev*. 2023;12(1):20230163.